

@ 2016 University of Newcastle upon Tyne and University of Teesside

Alcohol Brief Interventions and Interventions to Protect Well-Being for Armed Forces Personnel Moving Back into Civilian Life

Two Systematic Reviews of Evidence













This study was a collaboration between Newcastle University and Teesside University in the UK.

The study was in two parts; firstly examining research evidence for the effectiveness of alcohol brief interventions for Armed Forces personnel moving back into civilian life and secondly examining research evidence for the effectiveness of brief interventions to protect well-being.





The study was funded by the UK charity
Forces in Mind Trust.









Part One: Alcohol Brief Interventions A Systematic Review



Electronic databases including Medline, Central, Health Care Management Information Consortium (HMIC), and Embase were searched. 3415 articles were assessed and only ten articles met criteria for inclusion in the review. An assessment of the quality of the evidence was made using an established instrument (the **Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies:** EPHPP 1998; Thomas et al 2004).

© 2016 University of Newcastle upon Tyne and University of Teesside

RESULTS

There was much variation across studies in design, type of intervention evaluated (e.g. information leaflet about alcohol or face-to-face advice) and the participants recruited. All studies were conducted in the USA. Brief interventions are quick, preventative, and evidence suggests can be implemented upstream of acute clinical services to reduce the risk of developing long term alcohol related difficulties (Kaner et al 2007). The findings from the review suggest interventions delivered over the internet may have some utility with Armed Forces and support more research investigation in the UK.



MOD © Crown copyright 2016

The findings from the review are published here:

Wigham S, Bauer A, Robalino S, Ferguson J, Burke A, Newbury-Birch D. A systematic review of the effectiveness of alcohol brief interventions for the UK military personnel moving back to civilian life. Journal of the Royal Army Medical Corps. 2017 Mar 20:jramc-2016.

© 2016 University of Newcastle upon Tyne and University of Teesside







The National Lottery









Part Two: Well-Being Interventions A Systematic Review

The second part of the study looked at the effectiveness of interventions to protect the well-being of Armed Forces personnel at the time of moving back into civilian life.

METHOD

Electronic databases were searched including MEDLINE, PsycINFO, EMBASE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Health Management Information Consortium (HMIC), Web of Science, CINAHL, PubMed, PILOTS, PAIS International, Project Cork, Ministry of Defence (gov.uk) and the US Defence Technical Information Centre (dtic.mil).

RESULTS

9132 articles were assessed and 12 selected for inclusion in the review. All studies were from the USA and interventions included expressive writing, ways to manage negative emotion and thoughts, techniques to promote relaxation, connection in relationships and resilience. Interventions had some beneficial effects for veterans and other family members e.g. reducing distress and symptoms of low mood.



Improvements on indicators of well being were seen mostly in veterans who were experiencing some difficulties or seeking support.

The findings from the review will be of interest to service developers, commissioners and researchers and can be accessed here:

Bauer A, Newbury-Birch D, Robalino S, Ferguson J, Wigham S. Is prevention better than cure? A systematic review of the effectiveness of well-being interventions for military personnel adjusting to civilian life. PloS one. 2018 May 2;13(5):e0190144.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190144

Adler AB, Britt TW, Castro CA, McGurk D, Bliese PD. Effect of transition home from combat on risktaking and health-related behaviors. Journal of Traumatic Stress. 2011; 24(4):381–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.20665 PMID: 21818784.

Effective Public Health Practice Project. Quality assessment tool for quantitative studies. Hamilton, ON: Effective Public Health Practice Project, 1998.

Fear NT, Iversen AC, Chatterjee A, Jones M, Greenberg N, Hull L, et al. Risky driving among regular armed forces personnel from the United Kingdom. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2008; 35 (3):230–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2008.05.027 PMID: 18617356

Harms PD, Krasikova DV, Vanhove AJ, Herian MN, Lester PB. Stress and emotional well-being in military organizations. In Perrewe PL, Rosen CC & Halbesleben JRB (editors). The Role of Emotion and Emotion Regulation in Job Stress and Well Being. Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited. 2013;103–32.

Kaner EF, Beyer F, Dickinson HQ, et al. Effectiveness of brief alcohol interventions in primary care populations. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;18(2) doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004148.pub3.

Keyes CLM. Promoting and protecting mental health as flourishing: a complementary strategy for improving national mental health. American Psychologist. 2007; 62(2):95–108. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.62.2.95 PMID: 17324035.

Keyes CLM. The mental health continuum: from languishing to flourishing in life. Journal of Health and Social Behavior. 2002; 43(2):207–22. PMID: 12096700

Larson MJ, Wooten NR, Adams RS, Merrick EL. Military combat deployments and substance use: Review and future directions. Journal of social work practice in the addictions. 2012; 12(1):6–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/1533256X.2012.647586 PMID: 22496626

Mansfield AJ, Bender RH, Hourani LL, Larson GE. Suicidal or self-harming ideation in military personnel transitioning to civilian life. Suicide and Life-threatening Behavior. 2011; 41(4):392–405. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1943-278X.2011.00039.x PMID: 21599725.

Meredith LS, Sherbourne CD, Gaillot SJ, Hansell L, Ritschard HV, Parker AM et al. Promoting psychological resilience in the US military. Rand health quarterly. 2011; 1(2). PMCID: PMC4945176.

Thomas BH, Ciliska D, Dobbins M, et al. A process for systematically reviewing the literature: providing the research evidence for public health nursing interventions. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs 2004;1(3):176-84 doi: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2004.04006.x.

Warner KE, Meisnere M, Denning LA(editors). Preventing psychological disorders in service members and their families: an assessment of programs. Washington DC: National Academies Press; 2014.

World Health Organization. Mental health: a state of well-being 2014; http://www.who.int/features/factfiles/mental health/en/ (accessed 26 October 2017).

Funding

We are grateful to Forces in Mind Trust for funding the systematic reviews.

Research Team

The research team include: Dr Sarah Wigham & Andreas Bauer, Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; Professor Dorothy Newbury-Birch & Jennifer Ferguson, Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, Teesside, UK; Shannon Robalino, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.

For further details please contact:

Dr Sarah Wigham, Henry Wellcome Building, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4HH. Email: sarah.wigham@ncl.ac.uk

These materials are copyright University of Newcastle upon Tyne and University of Teesside except where otherwise stated. You are not permitted to alter, amend or convert these materials without prior permission of the copyright owners. These materials are provided as an information resource only and is not to be used or relied upon for any diagnostic or treatment purpose.

Photos courtesy of 'MOD © Crown copyright 2016'







